

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,928	07/17/2003	Michael Gilfix	AUS920030395US1	8413	
7:	590 09/26/2000	i e	EXAMINER		
Greg Goshorn, P.C. Attn: Greg Goshorn			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
Suite 115-119	10111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2110 W. Slaugl		2136			
Austin, TX 7	8748		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/621,928	GILFIX ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brandon S. Hoffman	2136				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR PENEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replicon.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ily be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this c  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.					
3)	<u> </u>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	1					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been r	eceived in this National	Stage			
	application from the International E	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachmen	• •	as 🖂 Internations On	mmary (PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-17-03.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2136

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 17, 2003, is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

<u>Claims 15-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The computer program product in independent claim 15 contains logic in a memory; there is no concrete, tangible, useful, final result. The end result of claim 15 (which is non-tangible) is memory with a stored classification value.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,928 Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

4. <u>Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 15, and 16</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Juels et al.</u> (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0029341).

Regarding <u>claims 1, 9, and 15, Juels et al.</u> teaches a method/system/computer program product of detecting intrusion attempts on a computing system, comprising the steps of:

- Creating a first mapping profile of a valid password (fig. 13);
- Storing the mapping profile in memory (paragraph 0111),
- Creating a second mapping profile of an entered password (fig. 15, ref. num 1510-1524);
- Calculating a profile score by comparing the first mapping profile to the second mapping profile (fig. 15, ref. num 1526);
- Comparing the profile score to a threshold value (fig. 15, ref. num 1530); and
- Classifying the entered profile into one of two or more security classifications based upon the comparison between the profile score and the threshold value (fig. 15, ref. num 1580).

Regarding claims 2, 10, and 16, Juels et al. teaches wherein at least one of the security classifications represents an intrusion attempt on the computing system (paragraph 0147-0149).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,928

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Juels et al.</u> teaches wherein the computing system is a personal computer (paragraph 0028).

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Juels et al.</u> teaches wherein the computing system is a telephone voice response system (fig. 14, ref. num 1460).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. <u>Claims 3-6, 11-14, and 17-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Juels et al.</u> (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2002/0029341) in view of <u>Zilberman</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,442,692).

Regarding <u>claims 3, 11, and 17, Juels et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claim 1, above. However, <u>Juels et al.</u> does not specifically teach the password being entered on a keyboard, but rather entering the password through other means, such as clicking certain images in an order, or hiding elements on a screen in certain regions (see paragraph 0016 of Juels et al.).

Zilberman teaches wherein the first mapping step and the second mapping step each comprise the steps of:

- Comparing successive characters of the respective password (table 4);
- Assigning a value to each pair of successive characters based upon a keyboard characteristic corresponding to the pair of successive characters (table 5); and
- Generating a password mapping for the respective password based upon the assigned value (fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine comparing successive keyboard inputs, as taught by Zilberman, with the method/system/computer program of Juels et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because it is important not only to get the right character inputs from the keyboard, but also get the right order. A password of "mypass1" is not acceptable if "py1sams" is entered.

Regarding <u>claims 4, 12, and 18, Juels et al.</u> as modified by <u>Zilberman</u> teaches wherein the keyboard characteristic is the distance between the keys of the keyboard representing the pair of characters (see fig. 9 and 10 of Juels et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 5, 13, and 19, Juels et al.</u> as modified by <u>Zilberman</u> teaches wherein the keyboard characteristic is the likelihood that one of the pair of

Application/Control Number: 10/621,928

Art Unit: 2136

characteristics is typed on a keyboard when the other key of the pair is intended to be typed (see paragraph 0019 of Juels et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 6, 14, and 20, Juels et al.</u> as modified by <u>Zilberman</u> teaches wherein the second mapping step further comprise the step of:

- Comparing the valid password to the entered password (see fig. 15, ref. num
   1580 of Juels et al.); and
- Determining when a pair of characters in the entered password are a transposition of a corresponding pair of letters in the valid password (see paragraph 0018 of Juels et al.); and
- When there is a transposition, adjusting the profile score (see paragraph 0019 of Juels et al.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,928

Art Unit: 2136

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ВH

Branda Well

NASSER MOAZZAMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

9,19,06